ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M09384

Date Received: 08/16/12

Date Extracted: 08/16/12

Date Analyzed: 08/23/12

Matrix: Water

Units: ug/L (ppb)

Internal Standard:

Germanium

 Client:
 Alaskan Copper Works

 Project:
 X-Ray Self Monitor M09384, F&BI 208231

 Lab ID:
 208231-01 100x

 Data File:
 208231-01 100x.020

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: btb

Lower Upper % Recovery: Limit: Limit: 87 60 125

 Concentration

 Analyte:
 ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 243

 Nickel
 <100</td>

 Copper
 <100</td>

 Zinc
 546

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted:

Date Analyzed:

Internal Standard:

Method Blank Not Applicable

08/16/12

Matrix: Units:

08/23/12 Water ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Alaskan Copper Works

X-Ray Self Monitor M09384, F&BI 208231 I2-539 mb

Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:

I2-539 mb.019 ICPMS1

btb

Operator:

Lower

% Recovery:

94

Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration

Analyte:

Germanium

ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 Copper <1 Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/27/12 Date Received: 08/16/12

Project: X-Ray Self Monitor M09384, F&BI 208231

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 208209-01 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	\mathbf{Sample}	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.02	99	101	67-132	2
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	5.12	100 b	100 b	73-119	0 b
Copper	ug/ L (ppb)	20	3.46	93	93	50-144	0
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	18.8	87 b	86 b	46-148	1 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent								
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance					
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria					
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	99	66-135					
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	107	67-134					
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	66-134					
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	99	57-135					

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

						•		"/0/30/	C855/14	Sample ID	Phone # 206-57/-6537	City, State, ZIP SCATTLE	2882 Con Assens Consistence Con Assens Con S. H.
			7					_	9	Lab ID	3 Pm # 206-382-4309	Cup	1 Ho
	1	K						11610	2/1/8		-382-9	1518	Hompson
	2				,			7.00	9	17	(ZON		
		12	GENERO THOM	GIVAN LACIBLE				// 04//	1 0H	Sample Type container		REMARKS	SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY PROJECT NAMENO. X-ROA SE/F Mon-tan
		NEMEN	THOMPSON	YAMB						TPH-Diesel TPH-Gaseline BTEX by costs VOCs by costs		٠	Mon-tw.
Samples received at 2		19-1	Acu	COMPANY				7	7	HFS Ce Cu nde Zo			MOSSSA FOR HT 88
1 29 c			18 0								O Will call wi	Dispose sa	16-12
		1 12	2	DATE TIME						Notes	G Will call with indirections	SAMETLE DISPOSAL	12 AIY And Sympathy

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

August 27, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on August 16, 2012 from the X-Ray Self Monitor M09384, F&BI 208231 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0827R.DOC